

The below printout represents the results of the public survey available at the URL:


https://www.youtube.com/post/UgkxF0urqa0USYFvrIN2GVPmAIKKi1Ez_sl



BlackBeltBarrister 4 months ago

Is it a serious procedural failure if the officer, about whose own integrity and honesty there is a reasonable concern, is trusted to lead an investigation concerning very significant public interest?

10K votes



555

196 Comments

Sort by



Add a comment...



@rangerwhite5165 4 months ago

My trust in the government, police and most government institutions is now at an all time low.

14 Reply



@lrdisco2005 4 months ago

Certain professionals should be held to a much higher standard than normally accepted. The police would be one of these .

82 Reply

6 replies



@rickymherbert2899 4 months ago

As a former Master Mariner I always held myself to the highest standards possible. I also expected my fellow officers to follow my example. Mainly, because if the brown stuff hit the fan I didn't want anyone nip picking my conduct/decisions. Also my professional career would be on the line.

Hence, I would expect any police officer to be the same, sadly many have shown themselves unworthy of any position of trust.

Show less

10 Reply



@chappy2121 4 months ago (edited)


Indeed. They shouldn't allow officers with criminal records either. I think the bar is that low. There really isn't a standard anymore. I dare say it is probably done on purpose

 1  Reply



@ubiquitousubiquitous3843 4 months ago

[@chappy2121](#) are we applying that standard to teachers, firemen, nurses, Drs, people who worry in old people's homes ? All have a position of trust

 1  Reply



@LukeTR2000 4 months ago

[@ubiquitousubiquitous3843](#) Add professional drivers and any management roles

 1  Reply



@ElliottRodgers 4 months ago

100% agree

  Reply



@trevcam6892 4 months ago

As a (retired) Licensed Professional Engineer I know that there are certain technical and ethical standards that I had to adhere to. Failure to do so could have lead to the loss of my licence to practice as well as civil or criminal proceedings. Even now, although retired, I could be still be legally held to account for any malpractice or neglect (deliberate or due to ...

 2  Reply



@JakeTheTrouserSnake 4 months ago

This is giving "Tony Blair leading an inquest into war crimes" vibes... 🤔

 1  Reply



@spm36 4 months ago

Tbf I have more concern with our corrupt MPs

 1  Reply



@sahhull 4 months ago

My trust in the legal system and the police couldnt be any lower.



 98  Reply

▲ [16 replies](#)



@ubiquitousubiquitous3843 4 months ago

Based on what

  Reply



@sahhull 4 months ago


[@ubiquitousubiquitous3843](#) life experience

 4  Reply



@ubiquitousubiquitous3843 4 months ago

[@sahhull](#) criminals often dislike the police for holding them to account for their poor behaviour

  Reply



[@sahhull](#) 4 months ago (edited)

[@ubiquitousubiquitous3843](#) nice attempt.
I'm not a criminal. Not even a parking ticket to my name.

 6  Reply



[@ubiquitousubiquitous3843](#) 4 months ago

[@sahhull](#) yet you claim to have all this life experience

  Reply



[@sahhull](#) 4 months ago

[@ubiquitousubiquitous3843](#) not all this life experience.
If you actually read the words and stop inventing extra words.
I said from 'life experience'.
You added all the rest and a conclusion yourself..
A cop would do that. If your not one already, you should apply to be a detective inspector.
They jump to incorrect conclusions too.



Show less

 6  Reply



[@ubiquitousubiquitous3843](#) 4 months ago



[@sahhull](#) sounds like you have made a comment with no experience whatsoever

  Reply



[@ron56pvi13](#) 4 months ago

Then don't call them.

  Reply



[@sahhull](#) 4 months ago

[@ron56pvi13](#) might as well not.
Last time I called them for a burglary in progress. The scumbag was in the house!
They turned up 6 weeks later to investigate.

 5  Reply



[@7bookem](#) 4 months ago

[@ubiquitousubiquitous3843](#) Criminals are only defined by law, which can change overnight, quite dramatically. One day you may become one through no fault of your own, remember that...

 1  Reply



[@ubiquitousubiquitous3843](#) 4 months ago

[@7bookem](#) it's always your fault if you commit crime, even if by mistake

  Reply





[@howardosborne8647](#) 4 months ago

Me also.

  Reply

 **@howardosborne8647** 4 months ago


[@ubiquitousubiquitous3843](#) Innocent people dislike and mistrust them even more so after false arrest and failure to apologise for the wrongful detainment.

  Reply


 **@ubiquitousubiquitous3843** 4 months ago

[@howardosborne8647](#) Statistics say false or unlawful arrests are very rare

  Reply

 **@Paul-pz3us** 4 months ago

Why are you a [Wo]man a member of the legal society ?
If not then who cares about the legal society bar association and its language: legalese

  Reply

 **@johnwright9372** 4 months ago

What irony that politicians and the media are not subject to any standards of honesty and integrity.

 1  Reply

 **@09philipr** 4 months ago

It is also exactly what is expected in the UK now.

 6  Reply

 **@g.davidturnblom5751** 4 months ago

I'd also be concerned that there are officers who have maintained false reputations of credibility and thus become trusted investigators of that which they are involved.


 12  Reply

 **@lostintranslation1957** 4 months ago

It is a bit like Sadik Khan overseeing the committee looking into his misdoings.

 7  Reply

 [1 reply](#)

 **@martyrich5187** 4 months ago (edited)

Sadiq khans committee will be next year at election time. He acts like such an idiot in mayor question time (council meeting)

 1  Reply

 **@showmethemoney2003** 4 months ago

If any reasonable concerns arise about an officer then that should be acted upon asap with limited involvement in any cases , even on suspicion

 18  Reply

 [1 reply](#)



@ubiquitousubiquitous3843 4 months ago

As it should for the public if suspected



1 Reply



@Patrick-wj1tz 4 months ago

If you have a fox in charge of the hen house, chickens will disappear.



1 Reply



@dodgem259 4 months ago

Integrity and honesty must be the corner stone of any police force. Look at the crap that get's hired, domestic abusers, hard drug takers, fascists, racists, misogynists, sex abusers and when these people get caught out we always here the same thing from the high up's in the police force 'how did these people get through the screening process', but then never do anything about it.



2 Reply



@amandaduggan9051 4 months ago

Sadly the entire establishment of this country appears to have gone to the dogs. Trust, honour, and decency are becoming as rare as hens' teeth. I don't trust anyone these days.



6 Reply

▲ 2 replies



@chappy2121 4 months ago

It's been socially engineered out, unfortunately. Gone are the days when people didn't want to soil their family name and / or stand out in their communities for the wrong reasons




3 Reply



@alanhat5252 4 months ago

@chappy2121 I believe it returned in 1979 with Mrs Thatcher's "there is no such thing as society", there having been an interlude as part of the [#PostWarConsensus](#).



Reply



@carolpray9816 4 months ago

I'm going to age myself, but, my late husband was a police officer for 21 years in Alexandria, VA. When he applied for the police academy, he had to be interviewed several times, each time going higher up the ladder. He also had to have a background check performed both civil and criminal. They also interviewed his personal references. He then had to have a mental evaluation completed and a lie detector test. I know the lie detector isn't acceptable evidence, however, you can tell quite a bit about your applicant that is taking the test. This took about 4 months to complete. I don't believe most of these tests are performed any longer, but I think every one of them should be. You are putting the lives of everyone that comes across the officer in his hands. Those hands should be worthy of that responsibility. I shall now hand my soap box back to you, Sir.

Show less



64 Reply

▲ 6 replies



@hairyairey 4 months ago

The lie detector test is not accurate for one thing. And I have been shocked by the level of biased record keeping by the Police.

 7  Reply

 **@andrewphilip3308** 4 months ago


Hand back your soap box? On the contrary, you should be given two to stand on.

 2  Reply



 **@fionavanbuuren3966** 4 months ago

My daughter had to go through those checks, apart from the lie detector, for the North Yorkshire Police. She enjoys her job, very much.

 1  Reply



 **@alanhat5252** 4 months ago

[@fionavanbuuren3966](#) so that's why North Yorks Police get called in when other forces have messed up!

  Reply

 **@bobfry5267** 4 months ago

If a person commits a crime he is a criminal. If he belongs to an organisation which shelters him, it is a criminal organisation. The principle applies more generally.

 4  Reply

 **@LadyAnna.888** 4 months ago

If there are serious reasons to doubt the officer's honesty beforehand then how could he be trusted to lead any investigation so it would not be right to entrust this officer at all.

 17  Reply

 [2 replies](#)



 **@mercedesblack7828** 4 months ago

There are plenty of officials who have not been held to account yet.

 2  Reply

 **@alanhat5252** 4 months ago

[@mercedesblack7828](#) which is a serious failing

  Reply

 **@jezlawrence720** 4 months ago

No idea what this is in relation to but positions of trust shouldn't be handed to people under suspicion of not being trustworthy until that suspicion is resolved.

 9  Reply

 **@jon-paultaylor** 4 months ago

This situation reminds of when I left a fox guarding my hen house 🤔

 1  Reply



@COMEINTOMYWORLD 4 months ago

A very leading question! Surely providing details of what you are referring to would be helpful. You're the best x

3 Reply



@ron56pvi13 4 months ago

Where I worked as an officer, if you even made a mistake once, all of your other cases were either thoroughly scrutinized or simply withdrawn by the prosecutor.

3 Reply

[1 reply](#)



@alanhat5252 4 months ago

As it should be!

I don't believe that's universal across the UK though.

Reply



@stephenjames59 4 months ago

Aren't there reasonable concerns about the integrity and honesty of every police force in this country at the moment? I do not trust any of them.

13 Reply

[5 replies](#)



@ubiquitousubiquitous3843 4 months ago

You are generalising 130,000 police officers ?

Reply



@alanhat5252 4 months ago

[@ubiquitousubiquitous3843](#) yes

Reply



@alanhat5252 4 months ago

[@ubiquitousubiquitous3843](#) there have been sufficient problems with sufficient regularity across sufficient time that the generalization fits.

Reply



@Tonystony1984 4 months ago

If we start with the understanding that they're all bent as nine bob notes it all makes sense

8 Reply

[5 replies](#)



@rumpled2698 4 months ago

masons

1 Reply



@mercedesblack7828 4 months ago

It's not that they are all bent but we have a system which cherry picks and is abysmal in terms of equal justice.

 2  Reply



@Tonystony1984 4 months ago



[@mercedesblack7828](#) ok not all bent lol 😊

 2  Reply



@alanhat5252 4 months ago



[@mercedesblack7828](#) there is also a problem with impunity, errant officers being brought to book is such a rare occurrence that it's headline news on all channels for weeks & we know this barely scratches the surface of the offending.

  Reply



@desperatelyseekingrealnews 4 months ago

Duh!!!

  Reply



@swisstoni2913 4 months ago

That stuff comes from the top down.

 2  Reply



@alexugurie 4 months ago

The problem is not how well or badly we are governed, but that we let ourselves be governed at all.

 3  Reply


▲ 3 replies



@alanhat5252 4 months ago

You'll be a Neoliberal Tory then?



Among normal people there is consensus that society has rules.

  Reply



@alexugurie 4 months ago



[@alanhat5252](#) Nature has countless examples of fully viable social adaptations, ranging from completely free to fully subjugated, but it is domesticated man, it is brutalised man, who desires rules, creates them and enforces them, to hell with the consequences.

  Reply



@alexugurie 4 months ago (edited)

[@alanhat5252](#) , so, in your mind, Robin Hood was a Neoliberal Tory? There are many outlaws who are guided by a strong sense of social integrity. Whilst individually ungovernable, they understand that survival depends on voluntary social participation. A person can be guided by a sense of doing good without the need for any rules.

  Reply



@TheAlexagius 4 months ago

I answered yes, though it seems this "procedural failure" is the modus operandi of British Policing.

 1  Reply



@Barbarapape 4 months ago

The major problem is who do you trust these days?
Most people are only looking after their own interests, you can do that and still be trustworthy but not in todays police force.
Recent events about the Met have highlighted just how corrupt it has become.

 3  Reply



@secretsquirrel6124 4 months ago (edited)

it would always come down to the age old
we investigated very thoroughly and suprisingly found that none of our fellow officers did absolutely
nothing wrong ever so there

  Reply



@eleventhmonkeygaming9476 4 months ago

A police to police the police.

  Reply



@c0d3w4rri0r 4 months ago

In many ways it would be worse if he was trusted to lead an investigation that was very important
but not in the public eye. Individuals important enough to lead investigations are either trusted or
they're not. If not then they shouldn't be on active duty.

 2  Reply



@TurnerN4tor500 4 months ago

Depends on the reasonable concerns surely?

  Reply



@mrwookie72 4 months ago

I've always maintained that lawmakers and all public servants should be held to a higher standard
than "us commoners" especially mps,local councillor's and the police



 1  Reply

 **3 replies**



@trevorhart545 4 months ago



Best of luck with that.

  Reply



@mrwookie72 4 months ago



[@trevorhart545](#) I know! (Im not pretending it's ever going to happen !)

  Reply



@ubiquitousubiquitous3843 4 months ago


Crown servants not public

  Reply



@katsmiaow385 4 months ago

Absolutely




Reply



@shaw_ting 4 months ago

are there and proven fact to doubt the honesty and integrity of said officers, facts are the important thing surely?



Reply



@truth.speaker 4 months ago

We allow pharma to mark their own homework

Why would anyone have concerns?




1 Reply



@susannaseay4799 4 months ago

This sounds familiar....taken out of the news in the US.



Reply



@sonny2593 4 months ago

Hi dan, i was mugged the other day and got told the police had insufficient evidence to find the suspects. I since learned they didnt even ask to see the cctv of the attack, and didnt investigate at all. Can I sue them?



6 Reply

▲ 3 replies



@ubiquitousubiquitous3843 4 months ago

You can certainly complain




1 Reply



@alanhat5252 4 months ago

You can but it's likely to be a private prosecution under Common Law, perhaps *Misconduct In Public Office*, you will need barristers because that's the way senior Courts work.

Expect to lose between one & ten million Pounds even if you win the case.




Reply



@ubiquitousubiquitous3843 4 months ago

@alanhat5252 sounds highly unlikely




Reply



@williamdeasy4501 4 months ago

If there is reasonable concern surely the officer should be under investigation, which should automatically exclude him/her from such investigations till case decided.



Reply



@monkeyboy8424 4 months ago

Irrelevant question when the Prime Minister of Britain lies about "government integrity, professionalism and accountability at every level" - 25/10/22, outside number 10.

Reply



@freshtoast3879 4 months ago

Of course.

Reply



@mercedesblack7828 4 months ago

No dodgy person should be working in a public role of authority whether copper or other official.

Reply



@63mckenzie 4 months ago

Good luck finding a clean copper.

2 Reply

▲ 2 replies



@trevorhart545 4 months ago

Blue Peter said Brown Sauce was the best way of cleaning up Dirty Coppers, HP will be pleased, Triple Sales.

3 Reply



@ubiquitousubiquitous3843 4 months ago

Yeah all 130,000 are corrupt, give over are you blaming all nurses of murder or corruption after Lucy Letby? Or all Drs after Harold Shipman?

Reply



@asambrook76 4 months ago

No. There should be sufficient procedures in place to safeguard against any dishonest behaviour being possible in an investigation of significant public interest. Note 'should be'.

Reply



@paulfountain1364 4 months ago

Reasonable concern is said with care, so I guess it's innocent till specific charges?

Reply



@garyevans8116 4 months ago

I think certain professionals. Need to be held to a higher standard. Maybe like almost unachievable standard. Like the military chefs we had cooking for us. That course was so difficult and hard nobody ever passed. But there standard was exemplary. It was fantastic. They where fantastic chefs. I think put the filth on this path. That they have to be exceptional



2 Reply



@deanobeany 4 months ago

If they aren't suspended/under review, they should be trusted to do the job. If you suspect and have evidence they are crooked, corrupt or incompetent they shouldn't be working.



If you trust them to do be on the job they can be trusted with any investigation you give them.

  Reply



@andrewworthy4931 4 months ago

"Nothing to see here" scenario and likely outcome.

  Reply



@andrewcarter7503 4 months ago

Probably is but also Probably par for the course.

  Reply



@roberts.wilson1848 4 months ago

The Coffee village in america where there's 50 cops for a 250 pop, and the vast majority had bad past (including criminal).

In other news, still in merica, a local newspaper was raided by cops for daring to investigate the corruption in the local police dept.

In other news, civil asset forfeiture, where "law" enforcement agencies get to keep what they steal from citizens.

"Hello, i stopped you for speeding, do you have anything valuable in the car? Money? 10.000 usd?!

Hmm, i suspect you made it from criminal activities, hand it over." This is actually what is happening , not to mention going by plane. TSA be line: "You have cash on you?! Great, we will take that and have you write a paper you made it from illegal activities and we'll give you back half of it"

Show less

 1  Reply



@oddball7483 4 months ago

An Independent Organisation, if that's possible, should be set up to hold the service to account for any questionable matter.


With the same sanctions applied as it would to a civilian.

  Reply



@cixsmiley3618 4 months ago

But we expect nothing less in Tory Britain.

  Reply

▲ [2 replies](#)



@Rid3thetig3r 4 months ago

Good morning. Britain has a uniparty, only the colour of the tie changes. Take a moment to realise that the Tories haven't changed a single Blair policy, in fact some have been accelerated. Unless that's what you meant, in which case I'm preaching to the choir, and I apologise.

 3  Reply



@cixsmiley3618 4 months ago

@Rid3thetig3r nonsense.



Reply



@paulgibbons2320 4 months ago

Obviously.

Someones track record is kind of like a fingerprint.



Reply



@smalcolmbrown 4 months ago (edited)

If there is any question about the integrity or honesty of the investigating officers, then it calls into question any 'evidence' that they might have presented in court. Many cases swing on the assumption that the police evidence is above reproach.

You could say that would be a gift to the defence barrister's team.

Given the MET's current problems with two or three officers a week being sacked or prosecuted why aren't every case that they presented evidence in being re investigated? I am guessing that no one wants to sip from that poisoned political chalice. especially not from the so called party of law and order.

Show less



Reply



@andrewgilbertson5356 4 months ago

I'd have thought that was a no brainer. If someone is reasonable thought to lack honesty, integrity they should not be trusted until or unless those concerns are shown to be misplaced . It is important for the rule of law that those entrusted to uphold the law should be worthy of our trust.



Reply



@CtrlAltDeleteLawz 4 months ago

Nothing about this country shocks me anymore. I also sent an email to you, I'm just wondering if it was received. I'm not sure if it might get caught in a spam filter... ex IT engineer lol



1



Reply



@Magicalfluidprocess 4 months ago

A policeman once told me " you are only recruiting from the human race "



Reply



@spudhead169 4 months ago

It could actually be a good thing. If the investigation results in a bad outcome for the public and the officer is later found to be corrupt it would invalidate the findings. However I suspect it would result in a very favourable result for the public, the appointment being an attempt to raise public image.



Reply



@SocialContraire 4 months ago

Per another yt channel i watch, YT did not demonetize another high profile content creator who was accused.

  Reply



@DJWESG1 4 months ago

Can't trick me with your clever words..

 2  Reply

▲ 5 replies



@xinpingdonohoe3978 4 months ago

There was no trick. Also, what's a clever word?

 2  Reply



@sidekicksimon5523 4 months ago

[@xinpingdonohoe3978](#) cuts right through you

 2  Reply



@xinpingdonohoe3978 4 months ago



[@sidekicksimon5523](#) figures. I was just making sure his spelling was sharp and I wasn't missing anything, to put it bluntly.

 1  Reply



@DJWESG1 4 months ago



[@xinpingdonohoe3978](#) i totally missed that, sharp tool you are.

  Reply



@xinpingdonohoe3978 4 months ago

[@DJWESG1](#) oh well, you get the point now.

  Reply



@martyrich5187 4 months ago

If there is serious concerns about the honesty or integrity of an officer, the issue is that he should be suspended until its verified either way. If reasonable evidence is found he should he removed so the issue should not present. If there is no reliable evidence of dishonesty, then thats a different case.

  Reply



@AnnDrogyne 4 months ago

Jesys a Barrister talking about integrity? Telling you know me ducks that's like Dracula becoming a Jehovah's Witness and telling people not to go to give to blood banks.

 1  Reply

▲ 1 reply



@ubiquitousubiquitous3843 4 months ago

You may know ducks, but not English!

  Reply



@melodynice7938 4 months ago



HECK, guessed wrong

 1  Reply



@donnae9566 4 months ago



Do the police force have integrity?

  Reply



@Treadplate7 4 months ago


I voted no, because the truth will unfold

  Reply



@KDSima 4 months ago



He definitely SHOULD NOT be given that assignment. I am just not sure it is a procedural issue. It seems like it is another issue.

  Reply



@davefisher544 4 months ago



Anyone who answered without knowing the documented procedure that has allegedly not been followed is just guessing.

  Reply



@vicm4795 4 months ago

Your question is rather convoluted but a resounding yes. Who are we talking about here?

  Reply



@TukikoTroy 4 months ago

Obviously.

  Reply



@DontPanicDear 4 months ago

Is that really a serious question? 🤔

 7  Reply

▲ 1 reply



@mr-biz 4 months ago



Yes, it is. Equally, how did Boris remain PM for so long since the same applies?

 5  Reply



@trueriver1950 4 months ago

It's a serious failing; sadly it's not a surprising one

  Reply



@redrooster5444 4 months ago

Just like members of the BAR investigating other members of the Bar you mean???

 6  Reply

▲ 1 reply



@jamesgorman5241 4 months ago

Especially if they look like a lesbian nana.

👍 1 🗨️ Reply



@cypeman8037 4 months ago

Context?

👍 🗨️ Reply



@MarciaThomas-dh9tm 4 months ago

There is so much wrong with politics in the USA and the UK

👍 🗨️ Reply



@gordonhutchison9647 4 months ago

The motorist is the polices priority, it brings in huge profits, so anything else is economically unviable, dereliction of duty I'd call it.

👍 2 🗨️ Reply

▲ 1 reply



@ubiquitousubiquitous3843 4 months ago

Police aren't benefiting from fining motorists

👍 🗨️ Reply



@Wilkins_Micawber 4 months ago (edited)

While police officers should be held to a high standard of integrity. An investigation is conducted with oversight from a senior officer. Each case should be tried on the evidence presented. The integrity of the officer(s) should only be brought into question where there is defence evidence that indicates any breakdown of this standard. Too many times when I served as an officer, was I cross examined on my integrity in order for the defence to circumvent the evidence I presented. If the defence can't defeat the evidence defeat the officer, seems to be the rule. All's fair in defence to get the client off even at the expense of the reputable integrity of the officer. Bad officers should be routed out and dealt with accordingly.

Show less

👍 1 🗨️ Reply



@stewthorne 4 months ago

so whats the ?

👍 🗨️ Reply



@calummcallister137 4 months ago


No it's not a failure. It's exactly how the system work top to bottom.

👍 🗨️ Reply



@stephennewberry9815 4 months ago

It should be, but it isn't.



Reply




@fishyc150 4 months ago

Look at commander Bennett. Not only did he sack more officers than anyone else he sacked officers when there was no evidence suggesting any guilt "because he can". Yes sack bad officers, but protect the good ones from malicious complaints. That same commander Bennett has been on paid leave for 3 years or so while being investigated for drugs and frustrating a lawful demand for a drugs test.

There is also strong evidence to suggest he was taking the drugs while making those verdicts.

Show less




Reply



@insightphoto 4 months ago (edited)

do bears s#!t in the woods?




Reply



@maxinebaker4489 4 months ago (edited)

What hell are you talking about 😞 without cops criminals rule 😡 thank you for your service 🙏 to all police 🚓




Reply



@rlw85 4 months ago

I remember a few years ago being pullover by a copa and the week before seeing him causing trouble away at York




Reply

▲ 2 replies



@ubiquitousubiquitous3843 4 months ago

We're you in the wrong tho ?




Reply



@rlw85 4 months ago

@ubiquitousubiquitous3843 no I had a highly modified car it got pullover all the time just so they could have a look at the car



Reply



@humourless682 4 months ago

The idea of a FEW rotten apples within the police and justice system, is completely preposterous! The recent Lucy Letby scandal is a perfect example of that! That an innocent girl, should get a life sentence, so corrupt and incompetent police can look good, and the failures of NHS managers can remain hidden, is appalling!





4 Reply

▲ 1 reply

 **@williamfrederickhoward678** 4 months ago

No thank you

  Reply

 **@FrostSpike** 4 months ago

It depends on what sort of "integrity and honesty" and what "reasonable concerns" we're talking about. If we're talking about trouble with "personal relationship" things but they've got a stellar professional record for actually running investigations, then that's fine by me. As a couple of literary models, look at Hannibal Lector, for example, with appropriate oversight, he'd be great as an investigative lead, or Sherlock Holmes, with bit of a drug problem and not great interpersonal skills but very good at what he does.

Show less

  Reply

 **@saltymemesmith** 4 months ago

Unfortunately the answer is no but the answer should be yes.

  Reply

 **@tombg555** 4 months ago

Who would click 'no' on this. Lol

 2  Reply

[▲ 2 replies](#)



 **@eddieelizabethhitler3259** 4 months ago

Suella Braverman for one...

  Reply



 **@Trish.Norman** 4 months ago

They probably misunderstood the question.

  Reply

 **@jonnyhendrixson** 4 months ago



It's OK in toryland.

  Reply

[▲ 1 reply](#)

 **@no-oneinparticular7264** 4 months ago



Even a rumour should bar anyone until it has been investigated. Well known fact, most rumours turn out to be true.

  Reply

[▲ 1 reply](#)

 **@ubiquitousubiquitous3843** 4 months ago

Evidence this 'fact'

  Reply



@midhc5072 4 months ago

Pay peanuts, get incompetent idiots. Whole cases can be lost if the sensitive disclosure highlights questions over an officer's integrity.

Reply



@greenpig4075 4 months ago

Who the hell votes no?

Reply



@blakops316 4 months ago

Wouldn't surprise me if the 2% who responded "No" were police officers 😏

Reply



@showmethemoney2003 4 months ago

I would like the police force to stage some calls unknown to the attending officers with honesty tests ,if failed then they ain't the right person for the job just to weed anyone out with a weak mind or anyone wanting to fast track up the ladder 📊

1 Reply

▲ 3 replies



@oscarvi3232 4 months ago

This was done to WA Police in 2017-18. Never heard of anyone getting caught out through this effort, but a lot of experienced, lazy officers who didn't want to deal with the public anymore had a cruisy 12 months off real policing. When the WA Police Union suggested the same should happen with the Public Service there was an absolute furor, and it was never ...

1 Reply



@showmethemoney2003 4 months ago

@oscarvi3232 it would be a good thing to do just throw a few set up jobs in here n there ,I would put them in some right ones where they would really be having to dig deep into there morals , I would also throw a test in where it was a garenteed arrest case closed with contradicting evidence appeared right at last min to see how that is handled too ,if they take ...

Reply



@stuartb4525 4 months ago

Plenty of Auditor type videos on YouTube which highlights what you mentioned. In too many of the videos the police will openly lie, abuse the powers that they do have, or indeed use the wrong laws to suit the situation usually just to get the person with the camera details. Even when loads of the police turn up, and see what is happening not one of them shows any integrity to step in and either prevent the abuse, or point out that perhaps their colleagues might be in the wrong here.

I think there is a Met Police misconduct hearing today about the strip search of a 14 year old girl. It involves 3 directly involved in the search and 1 other can't remember why the other is involved. Anyway as the ones involved, surely they must have worked out between them that this was wrong, as the young girl had no appropriate adult present during the search. Nobody stopped to say this can't be right.

Show less



Reply