

The below printout represents the results of the public survey available at the URL:


<https://www.youtube.com/post/UgkxvbRmzlXuDOu9ZOMB4jCCT85UfzIkYSeC>



**BlackBeltBarrister** 5 days ago

If the government's significant step was potentially caused by a corrupt police approach (unknown at the time), should it be made aware of that situation?

6.6K votes



334

## 190 Comments

Sort by



Add a comment...



**@sirrodneffing1** 5 days ago

I have no idea what you're talking about.

323 [Reply](#)

[77 replies](#)



**@n0killz44** 5 days ago

Me neither

30 [Reply](#)



**@lindakeyes9353** 5 days ago

Glad I'm not the only one!

32 [Reply](#)



**@\_Nobody\_Special** 5 days ago

Me most of the time. Just smile and nod.

22 [Reply](#)



**@Microdave75** 5 days ago

Read some books and educate yourselves then

5 [Reply](#)



**@dee764** 5 days ago

"Just smile and wave boys, smile and wave." - Skipper

 20  Reply

 **@xaelath7771** 5 days ago

I suspect he's being as abstract as possible so as not to bias the results.

 7  Reply

 **@Newbie-is2xr** 5 days ago

..omg.. ignorance of the law is no excuse.... your all doomed....

 5  Reply

 **@63mckenzie** 5 days ago

Yes, it's a bit of a confusing question.

 14  Reply

 **@boblong8149** 5 days ago


**@Microdave75** There are millions of books, and I meant to pick up the right book to upstand what they are saying ok bro

 29  Reply

 **@Newbie-is2xr** 5 days ago

**@63mckenzie** ..its actually not....

 2  Reply

 **@scratchy1704** 5 days ago

Ditto

 2  Reply

 **@JohnWalker-rt6ue** 5 days ago

This is a the type of nonsense question that a prosecution barrister would ask a defence witness in Court. When the witness is unable to understand the question, let alone answer it, the prosecution will claim that the witness has refused to answer the question. Subsequently, a miscarriage of justice will occur.

 16  Reply

 **@Newbie-is2xr** 5 days ago

**@JohnWalker-rt6ue** ..if you realLily cannot understand this. Maybe you should go back to reading the law books, maybe learn to understand legalese first.. or, go back to learning common law..

 2  Reply

 **@sirrodneyffing1** 5 days ago

**@Microdave75** I'm no stranger to books Pal, you're on you own there.

 12  Reply

 **@Omni315** 5 days ago

**@Microdave75** on any subject in particular. I don't think watership down will illuminate anyone on what Black belt barrister is taking about

 23  Reply



**@jfla5700** 5 days ago

[@Microdave75](#) So you know then?

8 **Reply**



**@loisbolton1800** 5 days ago

Same

5 **Reply**



**@Rusty-Hinge** 5 days ago

Nor me!

4 **Reply**



**@devilsreject320** 5 days ago

[@Microdave75](#) be careful it doesn't hit you on the back of your head!

4 **Reply**



**@JourneymanMan** 5 days ago

Trying too hard to sound smart

2 **Reply**



**@johntbaxter** 5 days ago

[@Omni315](#) lol

1 **Reply**



**@davidbond5448** 5 days ago

[@Microdave75](#) or maybe you're the one with the problem.

9 **Reply**



**@davidbond5448** 5 days ago

[@Newbie-is2xr](#) no? Glad you stopped by to explain, so worth it.

8 **Reply**



**@davidbond5448** 5 days ago

[@Newbie-is2xr](#) or you could pick up a dictionary and look up manners, politeness and how to be a pillock.

12 **Reply**



**@davidsmith5523** 5 days ago

[@Microdave75](#) Which book do we defer to when expert opinion is contradictory?

4 **Reply**



**@Internal.Inferno** 5 days ago

[@davidbond5448](#) "How to be a pillock" 😂😂 That's great.

4 **Reply**



**@deadmanwalking5576** 4 days ago

I ticked yes anyway 🤔🤔🤔

3 **Reply**



**@adrianincroydon71** 4 days ago

[@Newbie-is2xr](#) The question didn't mention ignorance of the law.

3 Reply



**@Deepfake820** 4 days ago

Me neither

1 Reply



**@LezleysAdventures** 4 days ago

[@Microdave75](#) rude

3 Reply



**@DeltaMikeTorrevieja** 4 days ago

[@Newbie-is2xr](#) then why when they bring in new laws do they advertise it widely and place 'stories' in the newspapers.

How come the police are so ignorant of the law but have no consequences?

3 Reply



**@gilllarr9285** 4 days ago

I bet he doesn't either

Reply



**@martinross5521** 4 days ago

Keep up.

Reply



**@OriginalApexTwin** 4 days ago

[@Microdave75](#) oh, you must be very clever to leave a comment like that. Enlighten us mere mortals brother.

5 Reply



**@littlegreenman.6197** 4 days ago

This is a unfair question, my wife ask me these kind of questions all the time and I can never answer. 🤔🤔🤔🤔

4 Reply



**@extrachromosome6386** 3 days ago

[@DeltaMikeTorrevieja](#) the government doesn't bring in law they tell you its law but its legislation not law.

1 Reply



**@izaac1312** 3 days ago

Same


1 Reply



**@DeltaMikeTorrevieja** 3 days ago



[@extrachromosome6386](#) The Government propose law which is enacted after multiple rounds of debate in the two houses (commons and lord's). The draft legislation called bills become law once passed by the two houses and given Royal Assent. Legislation once passed is then Law.


 2  Reply

 [@extrachromosome6386](#) 3 days ago

[@DeltaMikeTorrevieja](#) it's given the colour of law it requires your consent. Common law is the law of the land and its very easy to understand. All the acts and statutes and bollox are a completely different jurisdiction law of the seas wich is why they need to use legalese to deceive you. Black laws dictionary is full of the legalese it's so deceptive. Common law isn't i...

[Read more](#)

  Reply

 [@clubsport\\_46330](#) 3 days ago

If the police caused a law to be made, and that cause was for a wrongful purpose or use, should the government be made aware of it? That's the question in diffrent wording

 3  Reply

 [@naomania3619](#) 3 days ago

[@Newbie-is2xr](#) \*you're. You're welcome

 3  Reply


 [@Newbie-is2xr](#) 3 days ago

[@naomania3619](#) whatever.. my life is too busy to bother about grammar and mistakes... you knew what it meant, you are jusr trying to be a smart arse.. well done smart arse, you achieved your goal...!!

  Reply

 [@hairyairey](#) 3 days ago

I'm intrigued whichever government this applies to!

  Reply



 [@stillstanding123](#) 3 days ago

Ditto

 1  Reply


 [@VeganoGuy](#) 3 days ago


Nor do I.

  Reply



 [@kassemsaid8686](#) 3 days ago

Then why comment ...?? Duhhhhh!!!

  Reply

 [@feanor5037](#) 3 days ago (edited)

[@kassemsaid8686](#) Who are you replying to?

  Reply



**@kassemsaid8686** 3 days ago

Lol someone called microwave Dave...who didn't seem to understand the premise of someone else's question ...not you .....lol

Reply



**@sirrodneffing1** 3 days ago

[@kassemsaid8686](#) Right back at ya.. Dhhhhhhh

Reply



**@lmg7503** 3 days ago

Same here

Reply



**@SagaciousFrank** 3 days ago

[@JohnWalker-rt6ue](#) , surely the defence can asked for the question to be rephrased as to be comprehensible?

Reply



**@sixcandoneb** 2 days ago

I love how the tag line is "helping you to understand the law". But the second someone struggles to understand something the comments section jump on him for not understanding 😂😂😂 you guys really are something 😏

4 Reply



**@somethinglikeanonymous1773** 2 days ago

[@n0killz44](#) and yet everyone has still voted 🤔

Reply



**@mathewnicholls5881** 2 days ago

Guy needs to speak bloody English 🤡

1 Reply



**@Ragingbull299** 2 days ago

[@Omni315](#) watership down may help as I hear bigwig has a degree in law 😏

Reply



**@Ro-mm6tq** 2 days ago

Often, lawyers repeat something theyve heard about but do not ubderstand!? Remeber, lawyers regularly fck-up, ask their insurers!


Reply




**@sirrodneffing1** 2 days ago



[@Ro-mm6tq](#) My (former) best mate is/was a Family Lawyer, 35 years in practice: Zero practical skills, clueless about anything outside his very narrow field of experience, no clue about any other legal issues, total left wing Guardian reader; wouldn't let him represent me for a litter offence.


Reply



 **@sirrodneffing1** 2 days ago  
[@littlegreenman.6197](#) Dump her mate and get a parrot for better conversations.


 1  Reply



 **@littlegreenman.6197** 2 days ago  
[@sirrodneffing1](#) that's a great idea but who's gonna cook my tea. 🤖🤖🤖🤖


  Reply

 **@stephenbermingham6554** 1 day ago  
Fairly clear question posed.

  Reply


 **@sirrodneffing1** 1 day ago  
[@stephenbermingham6554](#) Not for me and 300 others, so you must be really clever then.

  Reply


 **@dangeary2134** 1 day ago  
It's like using a false flag to get a law passed that wasn't needed in the first place.  
If a law was predicated on lies, would you want it to stand?  
Better yet, when you have a lawless community of sheriffs and bobbys that constantly break the law to inflate arrest books without any repercussions, would you agree that they are ...

Read more


  Reply



 **@SlapshodWingnut** 1 day ago  
[@dee764](#) 🤖  
If you have any poo... fling it now...!


  Reply

 **@Underwatergoat1** 1 day ago  
Nor me.  
Seems a simple and unambiguous question not requiring contextualising information though,  
To me at least.


  Reply



 **@sirrodneffing1** 1 day ago (edited)  
[@Underwatergoat1](#) What he meant to say, probably (if he'd not been \*\*ssed or something) was: "If the UK Government took a course of action that, unknown them at the time, was taken as a direct result of police corruption; should they, the Government, be informed of it?"  
However, it would be no less of a stupid question, unless you're talking about Nigeria, China, ...

  Reply

 **@sirrodneffing1** 1 day ago  
[@LezleysAdventures](#) Direct.

 1  Reply



 **@LezleysAdventures** 1 day ago  
[@sirrodneffing1](#) correct 😊

  Reply



**@thekitchencounterauthor** 16 hours ago

[@Microdave75](#) nothing in the libre fulvum paginarum. Or the karma sutra.

  Reply



**@johnchamberlin5962** 5 days ago

Accountability is so important in society, no matter who it is.

 33  Reply

▲ 1 reply



**@CristiNeagu** 5 days ago

If it's accountability you want, you're in the wrong country for that

 2  Reply



**@garyhendrie4001** 5 days ago

Im with the what are you talking about camp. The only significant step he has taken is whatever klaus scab tells him to take. Clown couldn't hang his own trousers without someone telling him what to do.

 23  Reply



**@lindawilliams8067** 5 days ago

Corruption is rife it needs to be stopped 

 18  Reply

▲ 1 reply



**@chrisfell5073** 4 days ago

They all lied postmaster were framed. PO, Fujitsu and lawyers need jail time!

 2  Reply



**@VinnyBologna** 5 days ago

Ambiguous line of questioning, council...

 5  Reply



**@bgg-jp5ei** 5 days ago

What significant step?

 7  Reply



**@NigelS-xz1hh** 4 days ago

Jail for anyone influenced by bribery

 7  Reply

▲ 1 reply



**@ApocalypsMichael** 1 hour ago

Bring back "Stocks and shackles" for them; I say!



  Reply



**@carltontweedle5724** 3 days ago

Accountability should work for all the police MPs and PMs, not just those with nothing which they take anyway.

 1  Reply



**@BlookbugIV** 5 days ago

What's the context behind this confused and confusing query?

 6  Reply



**@karelester** 5 days ago

A big vague but yes, most certainly.

All corruption ideally should be exposed, for the good of the people and to rectify any implementations/decisions which were made as a result of the direct or indirect input of the corruption.

 4  Reply



**@Life\_of\_Harpo** 5 days ago

Do defence and prosecutors do deals with each other outwith the court? Sorry if this is wrong place for question

 3  Reply



**@kathfoster6904** 3 days ago

Unlike America, There is no Law that I know about, That you as A Citizen, you could do about Corruption, in the Police or MP's or Government Officials. I hope one day we will get Law's put in place, to make these Liars accountable.

 1  Reply



**@nickclinton7661** 5 days ago

I take it you have an example in mind

But yes even if a law has passed based on false evidence then not only should the false reporting be punished but the law too should be revoked

 4  Reply



**@karljung2426** 4 days ago

Who should be aware and about what???

 1  Reply



**@DJWESG1** 5 days ago

Expand pls.

 3  Reply



**@AI3x1066** 5 days ago

Those are certainly all words xD

 2  Reply



**@Gefionius** 5 days ago

Um is this a serious question? Under what circumstances would it be ethical, moral, legally or otherwise a good idea not to let them know?

 9  Reply

[▲ 1 reply](#)



**@rogerdodger1790** 1 day ago

When they're telling you a pack of lies about a health condition?

  Reply



**@tonyy452** 5 days ago

Objection m'lud. Confusing question.

 2  Reply



**@GlennPowell-Is3lg** 4 days ago

Anyone that has ever watched the film "The Bank Job" with Derek Statham set in the 70,s will clearly understand corruption in the government and higher hiarchy within the police.I doubt much has changed.

 3  Reply

[▲ 4 replies](#)



**@lee9042** 4 days ago

Yes your right mate money Mason's

 2  Reply



**@GlennPowell-Is3lg** 4 days ago

[@lee9042](#) Couldnt of put it better myself.Masons.

 1  Reply



**@normanpearson8753** 2 days ago



Well , presumably it was to entertain , little may have been true , it was a film .

 1  Reply



**@chrisfell5073** 4 days ago

Special PO powers. They were private processions. Only 6 [or 7] were police got involved.

  Reply



**@andyreynolds6194** 5 days ago

This is phrased rather inelegantly, if I may say so.

 6  Reply

[▲ 5 replies](#)




**@HouseofLogy** 2 days ago

Maybe he's got one of them there dumbed down degrees. Who needs a solicitor in a Communitarian world. As far as I am aware, we are immediately presumed guilty for the good of the community., and have to fight like hell to prove our innocence. How can we do that, if stating facts is forbidden.

  Reply

 **@andyreynolds6194** 2 days ago

[@HouseofLogy](#) I mean he's clearly a Barrister, but the rest of your comment reads like a bot programmed by Dr Goebbles.

  Reply

 **@HouseofLogy** 2 days ago

[@andyreynolds6194](#)



Maybe you need to take a dive into Communitarianism. Our Law will be of no consequence, once we shift completely to the new system. Apparently, if you are accused of a crime, you are guilty, supposedly for the benefit of your Community, and it will be up to you, to prove you...

[Read more](#)

  Reply

 **@andyreynolds6194** 2 days ago

[@HouseofLogy](#) no ta, I'm happy here in the real world.

  Reply

 **@nancyhood8395** 5 days ago

Don't worry law has answers when you've got money "gagging"


 2  Reply

 **@thomasdalton1508** 5 days ago



Who is actually writing these? I struggle to believe it is BBB. They are far too unintelligible to be written by a barrister.


 5  Reply

[▲ 1 reply](#)

 **@ianmason.** 3 days ago

Ha, ha, ha. As someone who once had to professionally edit a barrister's writing before it was fit to be put in print in the Beano, let alone the journal it was intended for, I can assure you that many barrister's writing is unintelligible.

  Reply

 **@UNSCRUPULOUSWHITEMALE** 5 days ago

Whaaa?

 2  Reply


 **@focusmicro** 5 days ago (edited)

Whether the government should be made aware of a situation where a significant step was partially caused by a corrupt police approach depends on several factors, including the extent of the corruption, the potential impact of the step taken, and the likelihood of effective action being taken by the government....


Read more


 3  Reply


▲ 1 reply

 @bikeybikebike 3 days ago  
Is that from ChatGPT?


 3  Reply

 @ChrissyloveUK 19 hours ago  
I suppose it depends what the significant step was, but, assuming they had taken a significant step in backing a corrupt police force for whatever reason then absolutely 100 %


  Reply

 @hannahreynolds7611 5 days ago  
I don't understand the question.

 6  Reply

 @CrimeVid 5 days ago  
Yes, Although I would distrust the idea that the government did not know. Expedience rools KO !


 1  Reply


 @nigeldaniel8280 5 days ago  
Tbh I watch a limited few of your video's BBB and usually in fact majority of the time are extremely on point and crystal clear  
However this poll you've introduced is not very clear on subject matter  
In other words wtf are you talking about please could you give us a clue ...



Read more


 3  Reply



 @RockDodger 5 days ago  
Turn left at west street. If you pass the postbox 📮 on the right next to the pub, ye gone te far!

  Reply

 @Dug\_Out 3 days ago  
How is this even a question? Corruption is corruption!

  Reply

 @disdroid 3 days ago  
From the position of the government, or from the corrupt police? (Asking for a friend 🤔)

  Reply



**@AcademyMike** 5 days ago

The question is intriguing. Given my experience as an expert witness back in the day it does lead to the conclusion that there is a 'gothcha' down the line. 👍

👍 2 🗨️ Reply

▲ 2 replies



**@seanoconnor8843** 5 days ago

Rhetoric provokes thoughts but proves nothing

👍 🗨️ Reply



**@chrisfell5073** 4 days ago (edited)

Experts have to be impartial. The Fujitsu expert was from the company. I think the comment was 'marking your own homework.'

Cpr 35 REPORT independent opion to court whether instructed by one party or two (Day 59 Coyle identified it was a system fault in 2003 and PO.knew). Nobody picked up on it includin...

👍 🗨️ Reply



**@antomort7295** 1 day ago

Ah yes ... the stairs to the police entrance at Parliament.

👍 🗨️ Reply



**@stubaroo1131** 5 days ago

😏 I'm scrolling through, choosing between fpl and Karen's getting karma hahaha was not ready for that intellect

👍 🗨️ Reply



**@LeeCharles90** 3 days ago

Should always be made aware as those in positions of authority can always find ways to circumvent navigate what the government has put in place

Kinda like when employees are told to go to ACAS with issues they are having with there ...

Read more

👍 🗨️ Reply



**@user-oo8xp2rf1k** 5 days ago

I don't understand the question. Great channel though.

👍 1 🗨️ Reply



**@davidsmith5523** 5 days ago

In any investigation, all relevant facts must be considered or the outcome is unsafe.

👍 🗨️ Reply



**@Andy-Tyler** 5 days ago

What you said.....

👍 2 🗨️ Reply



@Lancashire-Lass 4 days ago

No option to tick none. I don't want to tick any box but it won't let me. Maybe the Chinese lady with the upside down black saucer on her head and half a red chesterfield suite upon her upper body could clarify. I dunno 🙄

👍 🗨️ Reply



@EdmondHalley-uv3rw 3 days ago

Bit of an own goal for the reputation Daniel! Did we all miss something here?

👍 1 🗨️ Reply



@AndrewHawley 3 days ago

'Significant step'?

👍 1 🗨️ Reply



@jamescoburn6789 2 days ago

Translation for the hard of reading. Should the gov be informed when they take action (significant) if it is the result of false police info?

👍 🗨️ Reply



@edenpowell1 5 days ago

If i have a question what's the best way. Thanks

👍 🗨️ Reply



@sharronlewis3429 5 days ago

GB ❤️ Absolutely 100

👍 🗨️ Reply



@bampitony6108 4 days ago

Weli take two tablets a day for my diorhea.. It makes my stool a bit softer and I don't loose so much weight.. Plus I don't get wet skid marks which is a bonus.. I hope you understand my situation I don't really need legal advice.. 😂😂

👍 🗨️ Reply



@notenough1484 5 days ago

What if any advantages to not having the information available...

👍 🗨️ Reply



@kipsalviv5742 3 days ago

Confused 🤔

👍 🗨️ Reply



@Mareos1964 5 days ago

Potentially yes whilst no is possible too.

👍 🗨️ Reply



**@julianshepherd2038** 4 days ago (edited)

Too right, mate.  
Makes my blood boil and my ears tighten.

Reply



**@vibrationsofhealinglove** 3 days ago (edited)

This simply asks if something was to be brought to law thus being brought about by govt members due to police corruption would you want the police informed of it being corrupt and thereby default an illegal act

Reply



**@Bubblegum\_Girl** 5 hours ago

No, except only if it has directly caused considerable harm. Otherwise we risk wrongfully punishing police members who act on good moral compass despite it being in conflict with the law.

There is a strange golden thread of moral goodness that is grouped in under general "corruption", ...  
[Read more](#)

Reply



**@user23867** 5 days ago

Complete jibberish.

2 Reply



**@barrysrcdump3557** 5 days ago

Yup, what are you on about?

1 Reply



**@MakeCannabisLegal** 5 days ago

Making it so

Reply



**@jimmymorrison8314** 5 days ago

Always.

Reply



**@ITGeeks-FixIT** 2 days ago

What does this question mean?

Reply



**@GaryG1974** 5 days ago

This question has no context whatsoever! What "significant step" are you talking about?

2 Reply



**@bobikdylan** 5 days ago



Question unclear.

 1  Reply



@ArnoldClarke 3 days ago

I'm the one percent

  Reply



@zebra3519 5 days ago

Accountability is other people's kryptonite. Lie to me. 😏 I dare you!!

 1  Reply



@paulwally9007 5 days ago



A step in the right direction?

  Reply



@davidlane166 5 days ago



If a tree falls in the forest- will the chicken still lay eggs? Deep man

  Reply



@ibz6969 5 days ago

Step what?!

  Reply



@UrGro0ve 1 day ago

Errr... think you might need to re do your question there pal

  Reply



@RickPeake01 5 days ago

Oh come on .. they're one in the same

  Reply



@russgrant512 5 days ago

Context?

  Reply



@tonyollier7098 1 day ago



Without context, I have no idea what the question is about. With all the unhelpful muppets in this thread, I'm certain I will never know. Therefore I abstain.

 1  Reply



@xRepoUKx 4 days ago

Step?

  Reply





@lex0005 4 days ago

If the teacher's candle hears Beethoven crawling through a helicopter while buttoning fleas, should the coffin lid be yellow?

2 Reply

1 reply



@Lancashire-Lass 4 days ago

Hahahaha depends if the man hauling the cart carrying the coffin has been jabbed surely?

1 Reply



@TheMoosekeeper 5 days ago

Can't help being puzzled what motivates the very few people voting. 'No'.....

Reply



@laceandwhisky 4 days ago

Can't believe many of you can't understand the question but 99% say yes to it 🤔😎😂

1 Reply



@TheGloriousUncertainty 1 day ago

Clarify young man, clarify

Reply



@lpetitoiseau9146 3 days ago

What are you asking?

Reply



@rahjah6958 5 days ago

I'd get arrested for putting up an English flag. 2 english women arrested for silently praying. What are you on about ?

Reply



@TheWizardOfTheFens 5 days ago

"If" 😂😂😂😂😂😂😂😂

Reply



@stevioAda 5 days ago

????????

Reply



@Rob-zv1oz 4 days ago



What?

Reply



@Lee-wv8vn 5 days ago



Eh?

  Reply



@Rusty-Hinge 5 days ago

""No comment"" 😏

  Reply



@shawn7336 5 days ago



Please be more vague.

 2  Reply



@aniem2478 2 days ago

Totally pointless poll.

  Reply



@dh1380 4 days ago (edited)

Your choice of words is absolutely baffling but the answer is of course it should be informed (assuming it did not already know). Your ambiguous wording is f\*cking ridiculous. Do better next time.

  Reply



@KH-wy7le 2 days ago

Just stop with the cryptic bs will you! FFS.

  Reply



@altvamp 5 days ago (edited)

I can't make sense if that, it doesn't seem too make grammatical sense, just gobbledygook.

 1  Reply